## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA ROCK HILL DIVISION

) Civil Action No. 0:17-463-TMC-PJG
)
) ORDER
)
)
)
)
)
)

Petitioner Joel Brito-Ramirez, an alien detainee represented by counsel, is seeking habeas corpus relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. Before the court is the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation ("Report"), recommending that Petitioner's motion for a temporary restraining order (ECF No. 3) be denied as moot. (ECF No. 8). Petitioner was advised of his right to file objections to the Report. (ECF No. 8 at 2). However, Petitioner has not filed objections, and the time to do so has now run.

The Report has no presumptive weight and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with this court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270-71 (1976). In the absence of objections to the Report, this court is not required to provide an explanation for adopting the recommendation. *See Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983). Rather, "in the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must only

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Civil Rule 73.02, DSC, this matter was initially referred to a magistrate judge.

0:17-cv-00463-TMC Date Filed 03/17/17 Entry Number 14 Page 2 of 2

satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note).

After a thorough review, the court finds no clear error and, therefore, adopts the Report (ECF No. 8) and incorporates it herein by reference. Therefore, Petitioner's motion for a temporary restraining order (ECF No. 3) is **DENIED** as moot.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

<u>s/Timothy M. Cain</u> United States District Judge

March 17, 2017 Anderson, South Carolina